Errata

New Parameterization in Muon Decay and the Type of Emitted Neutrino. II

Masaru DOI, Tsuneyuki KOTANI and Hiroyuki NISHIURA

Prog. Theor. Phys. **118** (2007), 1069.

(Received August 8, 2009)

- 1. The inequality $\rho^{(s\,t)} > 0$ in Eq. (2.25) should be replaced by $\rho^{(s\,t)} \ge 0$.
- 2. Equation (2.36) in §2 should be changed from $\eta^{(2\,0)} = (1 \pm 24) \cdot 10^{-3}$ to

 $\eta^{(2\,0)} = -0.12 \pm 0.21$ or $-(2.1 \pm 7.0 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$ for the Majorana neutrino case. (E·1)

Here, the former $\eta^{(2\,0)} = -0.12 \pm 0.21$ is reported by Derenzo¹⁾ from his analysis of the e^+ energy spectrum. The theoretical expression he used is identical to our $N_{20}(x)$ in Eq. (2·24). On the other hand, the latter is obtained by Danneberg et al.²⁾ through their restricted analysis of the transverse polarization $P_{T1}(x, \theta = \pi/2)$ of e^+ . Their approximated theoretical expression is the same as ours under the assumption $\rho^{(2\,0)}(x) = 0$, because they use the one-parameter fitting by assuming $\rho_M = 0.75$ (i.e., $\rho^{(2\,0)} = 0$). Our expression of P_{T1} in the (s, t, u) = (2, 0, 6) case is expressed without any approximation as

$$P_{T1}(x,\theta = \pi/2) = \frac{P_{\mu} R^{(20)}(x)}{D^{(206)}(x,\theta = \pi/2)},$$
 (E·2)

where

$$R^{(20)}(x) = -x_0(1-x)(1-14\rho^{(20)}) - 2(x-x_0^2)\eta^{(20)},$$
 (E·3)

$$D^{(206)}(x,\theta = \pi/2) = (3x - 2x^2 - x_0^2) + 2(3x - 4x^2 + x_0^2)\rho^{(20)} + 6x_0(1-x)\eta^{(20)}.$$
 (E·4)

Note that our expression of P_{T1} in the (s, t, u) = (0, 0, 0) case is given in Eq. (A·12) in Appendix A.

The data $\eta^{(2\,0)} = (1 \pm 24) \cdot 10^{-3}$ that we cited in our paper is that reported by the Particle Data Group³) as an average over the values of η obtained by different experiments. This citation is not appropriate, because some of them are derived from the data on $P_{T1}(x,\theta)$ by using theoretical expressions different from our $R^{(20)}(x)$ in Eq. (E·3).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. A. Olin for pointing out our inadequate citation of the experimental data for $\eta^{(20)}$.

References

- 1) S. E. Derenzo, Phys. Rev. 181 (1969), 1854.
- 2) N. Danneberg et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005), 021802.
- For general review, see Particle Data Group (Review: W. Fetscher and H. J. Gerber), J. of Phys. G 33 (2006), 440.